



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/608,912	06/27/2003	Steven J. Winick	H0003859 (16131)	7055
128 75	90 09/16/2005		EXAMINER	
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
P O BOX 2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MORRISTOW	VN, NJ 07962-2245		2642	
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/16/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/608,912	WINICK ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Quynh H. Nguyen	2642		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 June 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4,7-8, and 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3,5-6,9, and 11-12 is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction are on Papers The specification is objected to by the Example the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) :	to. Indoor election requirement. Indicate the consideration in the con			
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/608,912

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4, 7-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheffer (U.S. Patent 5,884,184).

As to claims 1 and 7, Sheffer teaches the steps of: scanning available cellular control channels (col. 7, lines 40-42; col. 3, lines 62-66) and measuring the signal strength of each cellular control channel (col. 7, lines 43-48); selecting multiple forward control channels having a signal strength below a preselected threshold and eliminate those control channels and continue to selection the remaining multiple control channels (col. 8, lines 41-59; col. 4, lines 19-25) which is verified as being available for the assigned carrier to report a status of the fire alarm system (col. 1, lines 5-7; col. 2, lines 34-37 and lines 47-49; col. 3, lines 3-6; col. 5, lines 1-12); transmitting a cellular radio message on the status of the fire alarm system over either of the cellular control channel with the strongest signal strength or the cellular control channel with the second strongest signal strength (col. 4, lines 10-17). Therefore it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art that selecting a cellular control channel with the strongest

Application/Control Number: 10/608,912 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

signal strength and second strongest signal strength since eliminating the control channels having signal strength below a preselected threshold.

As to claims 2 and 8, Sheffer teaches developing a channel list in which the cellular control channels (col. 3, line 66 through col. 4, line 2) are sorted and classified according to signal strength (col. 4, lines 4-10 - where Sheffer discussed selecting a control channel based on a comparison of the stored preselected criteria or characteristics, for example sorted according signal strength).

As to claim 4, Sheffer teaches repeating and selecting a cellular control channel with the strongest signal strength until the last available channel is found (col. 8, lines 44-59).

Claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to the third limitation of claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3, 5-6, 9, and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,912

Art Unit: 2642

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-

Page 4

7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to

5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quynh H. Nguyen

Dujoh H. Ngrujen

September 14, 2005